329, High Helbern, London, VENTRET From
YORKSHIRE OBSERVER BRANT Pun was TATE GALLERY HIBITION OF PAINTINGS AT HARROGATE.

The Tate Gallery or the National Gallery of British Art, to give that institution its proper title, has lent a collection of pictures of the Harrogate Corporation. These gaintings have now been placed on exhibition, as many in the art gallery as that come will comfortably hold, and the emainder in the library reading-room below, and on the staircase.

Whether the Harrogate Corporation had he opportunity of a free or even a retricted choice from the treasures of the Tate fallery, or whether the selection was made orincipally by the lenders the writer is maware, but in any case there is room for speculation as to why these particular picares were sent.

It might, of course, be possible to justify the choice. It might—rightly or wrongly—be contended that Harrogate has never shown any enlightened interest in the Ligher orms of art, and that to put before the unophisticated townsfolk paintings by the eaders of the modern movement would not call to the conversion of the fallistines, but would merely confirm them in their billistinism. For better, it might be figured, to show the people of Harrogate, the ntellectically undisturbing products of the Victorian anecdotage and of recent paintings of the same tradition. After all, although one may not learn a great deal about art from Landseer, Fraed, E. M. Ward, or William Mulready, one may derive a good leal of innocent pleasure, and glean a little knowledge about the costume and 1 mners of other days and other countries, and, of course, of pictures of this sort the Tate Cift, the Vernon collection, and the ersistently ill-judged beneficence of the sustees of the Charity Fund, whereas of good examples of the work of contemporary painters who matter it has far too few, lespite the progress which has been made in recent years.

Whether the considerations aggested in recent years.

ty Eu. work of r it has f sich has

rustees of the Charity Financers who matter it has far too ten, lespite the progress which has been made a recent years.

Whether the considerations aggested above were actually those which determined he character of the exhibition at Harrogate, and if so, whether the implied estimation of the taste of Harrogate is correct, are questions which are left open.

Of course the exhibition is not entirely arren of works of esthetic interest. There is, for instance; "The Tenth Plague of Egypt," by Turner. It is one of the more ombre of his great landscapes, but it has a monumental dignity which is lacking in one of his more famous works at Millbank in Trafalgar Square in which form has been sacrificed in a riot of splendid colour. There is also an admirable little landscape from the Vernen collection, by Patrick Nasmyth, in which the influence of Hobbema s plainly evident.

Linnel's well-known "Noonday Rest."

W. Cooke's "Boats in a Calm," David Robert's "Interior of Bruges Cathedral." and opertraits by John Jackson and Sir Martin Shee, ar not without some ittle merit. For the rest, the older octures of Harrogate are a melanholy reminder of the appalling ignorance of those who built up the national art collection in the past, and of the burden they have permanently inflicted on us.

Some of the pictures by contemporary painters are a little better. "Lady Wanago," by Mr. P. A. de Laszlo, is a characterisic example of tashionable portraiture by an artist of considerable technical ability, and there is a landscape with cattle, by Mr. Arnesby Brown and an "Interior of the Beverley Arms Kitchen," by Mr. Frederick Elwell, which are, interesting in their own way, good art.

The signal and the carries of the cather the cathibition in addition to the Tate pictures.

Arnesby Brown and an "Interior of Arnesby Brown and an "Interior of Beverley Arms Kitchen," by Mr. Frederick Elwell, which are, interesting in their own ray, good art.

It is rather curious that the exhibition should contain, in addition to the Tate pictures already spoken of, two paintings, one by Mr. William Nicholson and the other by Mr. J. B. Manson, who is the deputy-director of the Tate Gallery. These two pictures look strangely out of place in such company, and feither of these artists s' in the vein of the modern movement, but is what "The Burlington Magazine" would call an "intermediate." The painting by Mr. Nicholson, is entitled "Cinerarias." It is evidently a recent work, and it indicates a remarkable modification of, the artist's early and best-known style. Undoubtedly Mr. Nicholson has permitted himself to be influenced by the moderns, though not entirely for his good. Perhaps the is stil in the process of assimilating new ideas. That rate it is a proof of his open-mindedness and flexibility.

Manson's contribution. "Sparkling interesting essay in a

s or ...
it is a proor of the state of the s

ne his o Mr. Ge open-mindedness ir. Manson's o Cornwall." is a contribution. "Sp an interesting ess from the ay 16 French

Mr. Manson's contribution. "Sparkling as Cornwall." is an interesting essay in a chique derived ultimately from the French visionists.

It is a great pitty that these two are the ally serious paintings by present-day tists in the collection.

Since the last exhibition the gallery has en renovated, and the upper part of the alls hung with a grey fabric which forms very pleasant and unobtrusive background the pictures. e gallery has part of the which forms e Lackground